From: <u>Turner.Kevin@epamail.epa.gov</u>

To: <u>Tom Binz</u>

Subject: Fw: Screening data of Nov 6, 2009 and response to your e mails

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2010 12:08:21 PM

From: "Atul Salhotra" <asalhotra@ramgp.com>
To: Kevin Turner/R5/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Brian Barwick/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, <david.r.webb@illinois.gov>, <faryan.steven@epa.gv>, Michelle

Majack/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, Michelle Watters/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, "Walter Shifrin" <wshifrin@shifrinandassociates.com>

Date: 11/06/2009 06:13 PM

Subject: RE: Screening data of Nov 6, 2009 and response to your e mails

Kevin:

We are in general agreement with the action items that you have outlined in the E mail. After the conference call I requested our field staff to contact the resident at 500 N St. Louis to get access. Kevin Richter, the resident, indicated that he was out of town and the earliest appointment the field staff could get was for Monday November 9 at 1600.

As always I am available 24/7 on my cell. Pl call me anytime. We will send you updates during the weekend.

Atul M. Salhotra, Ph.D. Vice President, RAM Group A Division of Gannett Fleming 5433 Westheimer Rd. Suite 725 Houston, TX 77056 (713) 784 5151 (O) (832) 498 1717 (C) (713) 784 6105 (F)

www.gannettfleming.com

----Original Message---From: Turner.Kevin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Turner.Kevin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 06, 2009 4:35 PM
To: Atul Salhotra
Cc: Barwick.Brian@epamail.epa.gov; david.r.webb@illinois.gov;
faryan.steven@epa.gv; Majack.Michelle@epamail.epa.gov;
Watters.Michelle@epamail.epa.gov; 'Walter Shifrin'
Subject: RE: Screening data of Nov 6, 2009 and response to your e mails

The agency has reviewed the attachments from the above e-mail. This e-mail summaries today's conference call from approximately 3 pm to 4:15 pm. This e-mail only addresses the in-home portion of event based monitoring. Based on our review and the conference call the U.S. EPA states and/or requests the following.

116 W. Date - The U.S. EPA agrees with your approach to continue to gain access to this property. Once access is granted, this home will be screened according to the approved plans

 $500\ \mbox{Old St.}$ Louis Road - The U.S. EPA requires that summa canisters be placed in this home today (tomorrow at the latest) according to the approved plans for that home.

 $504\ \mbox{N}$ Delmar - The U.S. EPA agrees with the conclusions from your e-mail response.

122 W. Date - At this time, the U.S. EPA agrees that summa canisters are not needed for this weekend based on the passing of this event. It is our opinion that Apex missed the opportunity to determine if vapor intrusion actually occurred at this home.

119 W. Date - The U.S. EPA agrees with the approach as outlined in your $\ensuremath{\text{e-mail}}\xspace$.

Kevin Turner U.S. EPA (618) 997-0115